arXiv:1605.06987v1 [math.RA] 23 May 2016

Vector lattices in synaptic algebras

David J. Foulis*, Anna Jenčová and Sylvia Pulmannová†

Abstract

A synaptic algebra A is a generalization of the self-adjoint part of a von Neumann algebra. We study a linear subspace V of A in regard to the question of when V is a vector lattice. Our main theorem states that if V contains the identity element of A and is closed under the formation of both the absolute value and the carrier of its elements, then V is a vector lattice if and only if the elements of V commute pairwise.

Key Words: synaptic algebra, vector lattice, effect algebra, generalized supremum and infimum, commutative, monotone square-root property.

AMS Classification 47B15 (06C15, 91P10)

1 Introduction

Let A be a synaptic algebra [6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 23]. Numerous examples of synaptic algebras can be found in the cited references. To help fix ideas, one may think of the special case in which A is the self-adjoint part $\mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$ of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. We shall be particularly concerned with the mappings on A given by $a \mapsto |a|$ (the *absolute value* of a), $a \mapsto a^+$ (the *positive part* of a), and $a \mapsto a^\circ$ (the *carrier*

*Emeritus Professor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA; Postal Address: 1 Sutton Court, Amherst, MA 01002, USA; foulis@math.umass.edu.

†Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, SK-814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia; pulmann@mat.savba.sk. The second and third authors were supported by Research and Development Support Agency under the contract No. APVV-0178-11 and grant VEGA 2/0059/12.

of a). For the case in which $A = \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$, the absolute value of T is $|T| = (T^2)^{1/2}$, $T^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|T| + T)$, and $T^{\rm o}$ is the (orthogonal) projection onto the closure of the range of T.

Among other things, A is a partially ordered linear space. For the case in which $A = \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on $\mathfrak{H}$, and $S, T \in \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$, the partial order is given by $S \leq T \Leftrightarrow \langle Sx, x \rangle \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$.

In Section 2, we provide a brief review of partially ordered sets and effect algebras that will be needed in what follows. In Section 3, we recall some of the main features of a synaptic algebra. The notions of a generalized infimum and supremum are studied in Section 4.

In Section 5 we shall be considering a linear subspace V of A. According to [12, Theorem 2.8], if V is closed under the mapping $a \mapsto a^+$, and if $ab = ba$ for all $a, b \in V$, then V is a vector lattice. In Lemma 5.10 below we provide more details of the proof of this result and we prove that, conversely, if V is a vector lattice, the unit $1 \in A$ belongs to V, and V is closed under the mappings $a \mapsto a^+$ and $a \mapsto a^{\rm o}$ then $ab = ba$ for all $a, b \in V$. Theorem 5.11 below contains our main results concerning conditions for V to be a vector lattice.

Many of the results in this paper are motivated by the work of D. Topping in [26]. Our work differs from Topping's in the following respects: (1) All of our results pertain to a synaptic algebra A, which considerably generalizes $\mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$. (2) Our proofs do not require any topological considerations—our arguments are strictly algebraic and order-theoretic. (3) We make substantial use of the condition that the linear space V is closed under the carrier mapping $a \mapsto a^{\rm o}$.

2 Preliminaries

We begin by reviewing some notation, some nomenclature, and some facts that we shall need later. In what follows, the symbol := means 'equals by definition,' the abbreviation 'iff' means 'if and only if,' and $\mathbb{R}$ is the ordered field of real numbers.

Let X be a partially ordered set (poset) with partial order relation $\leq$ and let $x, y \in X$. The elements x and y are said to be *comparable* iff $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$. We write $x < y$ to mean that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$. The *infimum* (greatest lower bound) of x and y in X—if it exists—is written as $x \wedge y$, or alternatively as $x \wedge_X y$ if it is necessary to make clear where the infimum

is calculated. Likewise, the *supremum* (least upper bound) of x and y in X—if it exists—is written as $x \vee y$ or as $x \vee_X y$. We say that the poset X is *lattice ordered*, or simply a *lattice*, if $x \wedge y$ and $x \vee y$ exist for all $x, y \in X$. A lattice X is *distributive* iff $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$, or (as it turns out) equivalently, iff $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

If there is a smallest element $0 \in X$, then the elements $x, y \in X$ are *disjoint* iff $x \wedge y$ exists and $x \wedge y = 0$, i.e., iff for all $z \in X$, $z \leq x, y \Rightarrow z = 0$. If there is both a smallest element $0 \in X$ and a largest element $1 \in X$, then X is called a *bounded poset*. If X is a bounded lattice, then the elements $x, y \in X$ are *complements* iff $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee y = 1$, and the lattice X is *complemented* iff every element $x \in X$ has at least one complement $y \in X$. An *orthocomplementation* on the bounded lattice X is a mapping $x \mapsto x^\perp$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$, x and $x^\perp$ are complements, $x = (x^\perp)^\perp$, and $x \leq y \Rightarrow y^\perp \leq x^\perp$.

An *orthomodular lattice* (OML) [2, 21] is a bounded lattice X equipped with an orthocomplementation $x \mapsto x^\perp$ such that the *orthomodular identity* $x \leq y \Rightarrow y = x \vee (y \wedge x^\perp)$ holds for all $x, y \in X$. A *Boolean algebra* is a bounded, complemented, and distributive lattice—or equivalently it is a distributive OML.

An *MV-algebra* [4] is an algebra $(X; 0, 1, ^\perp, +)$ of type (0,0,1,2) such that, for all $x, y \in X$, $x+(y+z) = (x+y)+z$, $x+y = y+x$, $x+0 = x$, $(x^\perp)^\perp = x$, $0^\perp = 1$, $x + x^\perp = 1$, and $x + (x + y^\perp)^\perp = y + (y + x^\perp)^\perp$. The MV-algebra X is organized into a poset by defining $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y = x + (x + y^\perp)^\perp$ for $x, y \in X$, and then X is a bounded distributive lattice with $x \vee y = x + (x + y^\perp)^\perp$. Following the traditional mathematical convention, we usually write the MV-algebra $(X; 0, 1, ^\perp, +)$ simply as X.

As is well known, a Boolean algebra is the same thing as an MV-algebra X that satisfies the condition $x + x = x$ for all $x \in X$.

An *effect algebra* [7] is a partial algebra $(E; 0, 1, ^\perp, \oplus)$ of type $(0, 0, 1, 2)$ such that (1) for all $d, e, f \in E$ the partially defined binary operation $\oplus$, called the *orthosummation*, satisfies $d \oplus (e \oplus f) = (d \oplus e) \oplus f$ and $e \oplus f = f \oplus e$, both equalities in the sense that if either side is defined, then both sides are defined and the equality holds; moreover it is required that (2) $e^\perp$, called the *orthosupplement* of e, is the unique element in E for which $e \oplus e^\perp$ is defined and $e \oplus e^\perp = 1$; and it is further required that (3) if $e \oplus 1$ is defined, then $e = 0$. In some accounts, $e^\perp$ is written as e'.

An effect algebra $(E; 0, 1, ^\perp, \oplus)$ is usually written simply as E. The relation $\perp$, called *orthogonality*, is defined on E by $e \perp f$ iff the orthosum $e \oplus f$

is defined. Also, E is organized into a bounded poset by defining $e \leq f$, called the *induced partial order* on E, iff there exists $d \in E$ such that $e \perp d$ and $e \oplus d = f$. Such an element d is uniquely determined, and by definition $f \ominus e := d$. For $e, f \in E$, we have $(e^{\perp})^{\perp} = e$ and $e \leq f \Rightarrow f^{\perp} \leq e^{\perp}$, whence $e \perp f \Leftrightarrow e \leq f^{\perp} \Leftrightarrow f \leq e^{\perp}$.

If E is an effect algebra and $e, f \in E$, then e and f are said to be *compatible* iff there exist $e_1, f_1, d \in E$ such that $e_1 \perp f_1$, $(e_1 \oplus f_1) \perp d$, $e = e_1 \oplus d$ and $f = f_1 \oplus d$. For instance, two comparable elements of E as well as two orthogonal elements of E are compatible. Also, if e and f are compatible, then so are e and $f^{\perp}$. A maximal set of pairwise compatible elements of E is called a *block*. Clearly (Zorn) E is covered by its own blocks.

A subset S of an effect algebra E is called a *sub-effect algebra* of E iff $0, 1 \in S$ and for $s, t \in S$, $s^{\perp} \in S$ and $s \perp t \Rightarrow s \oplus t \in S$. If S is a sub-effect algebra of E, then S is an effect algebra in its own right under the restriction to S of the orthosummation and orthosupplementation operations on E, and the resulting induced partial order on S is the restriction to S of the partial order relation on E.

If, the effect algebra E is lattice ordered, i.e., if as a bounded poset E is a lattice, it is called a *lattice effect algebra*.

2.1 Theorem. [17, Proposition 2.1] *Suppose that E is a lattice effect algebra. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent*: (i) *For all $e, f \in E$, $(e \vee f) \ominus e = f \ominus (e \wedge f)$.* (ii) *For all $e, f \in E$, $e \wedge f = 0 \Rightarrow e \perp f$.* (iii) *For all $e, f \in E$, $e \ominus (e \wedge f) \perp f$.* (iv) *For all $e, f \in E$, e is compatible with f.*

2.2 Definition. Let E be a lattice effect algebra. Then:

(1) If any one, whence all four of the conditions in Theorem 2.1 hold, then E is called an *MV-effect algebra*. In particular, E is an MV-effect algebra iff disjoint pairs in E are orthogonal.

(2) E is an *OML-effect algebra* iff orthogonal pairs in E are disjoint.

(3) E is a *Boolean effect algebra* iff disjoint pairs in E coincide with orthogonal pairs in E.

Every block in a lattice effect algebra E is both a sublattice and a sub-effect algebra of E, and as such it is an MV-effect algebra [24]. Thus, every lattice effect algebra is covered by sub-MV-effect algebras.

An MV-effect algebra E can be organized into an MV-algebra by defining $e + f := e \oplus (e^{\perp} \wedge f)$ for all $e, f \in E$. Conversely, an MV-algebra X can be organized into an MV-effect algebra by defining, for $e, f \in X$, $e \perp f$ iff $e \leq f^{\perp}$, in which case $e \oplus f := e + f$. In this way, *MV-effect algebras and MV-algebras are mathematically equivalent structures.*

If E is an OML-effect algebra, then E is an OML with orthocomplementation $e \mapsto e^{\perp}$. Conversely, if X is an OML, then X is organized into an OML-effect algebra by defining, for $e, f \in X$, $e \perp f$ iff $e \leq f^{\perp}$, in which case $e \oplus f := e \vee f$. In this way, *OML-effect algebras and OMLs are mathematically equivalent structures.*

If E is a Boolean effect algebra, then E is a Boolean algebra and for every $e \in E$, $e^{\perp}$ is the unique complement of e in E. Conversely, if X is a Boolean algebra, then X is organized into a Boolean effect algebra by defining, for $e, f \in X$, $e \perp f$ iff $e \wedge f = 0$, in which case $e \oplus f := e \vee f$, and by defining $e^{\perp}$ to be the unique complement of e in E. In this way, *Boolean effect algebras and Boolean algebras are mathematically equivalent structures.*

If an effect-algebra property is attributed to an MV-algebra, an OML, or a Boolean algebra X, it is understood that the property in question holds when X is organized into an effect algebra as indicated above. For instance, two elements e, f of an OML X are compatible iff they are compatible when X is organized into an OML-effect algebra. It is well known that a Boolean algebra is the same thing as an OML in which every pair of elements is a compatible pair.

We shall refer to a partially ordered real linear space as a *vector lattice* iff it is lattice ordered. It is well known that, as a lattice, a vector lattice is distributive—more generally, a lattice-ordered group (an ℓ-group) is distributive [3, Theorem 4]. In an ℓ-group, there are traditional definitions of the positive and negative parts of an element and of the absolute value of an element [3, pages 293 and 295]—these, however, may differ from the corresponding notions for a synaptic algebra. (See Remarks 5.5 below.)

3 Basic properties of a synaptic algebra

In what follows, *we assume that A is a synaptic algebra.* Axioms for the synaptic algebra A can be found in [6] and will not be repeated here, however we shall review some of the important structural aspects of A that will be needed later in the paper.

Associated with A is a so-called *enveloping algebra* $R \supseteq A$ which is (at least) an associative linear algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ with a unit element 1, and $1 \in A$. For the special case in which $A = \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$, the enveloping algebra is $R = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. To avoid trivialities, we assume that $1 \neq 0$; hence, as is customary, we may identify each real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda 1 \in R$.

As a subset of R, the synaptic algebra A is a real linear space; moreover, under a partial order relation $\leq$, it forms an *order-unit space* with order unit 1 [1, pp. 67–68], i.e., A is an Archimedean partially ordered real linear space and 1 is a (strong) order unit in A. Moreover, A is a normed linear space under the *order-unit norm* defined for $a \in A$ by $\|a\| := \inf\{0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : -\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda\}$.

The *positive cone* in A is denoted and defined by $A^+ := \{a \in A : 0 \leq a\}$. If V is a linear subspace of A, we understand that V is organized into a partially ordered real linear space under the restriction of the partial order $\leq$ on A. Thus, if V is a linear subspace of A and $1 \in V$, then V is an order-unit space with order unit 1.

Let $a, b \in A$. The product ab is calculated in R and may or may not belong to A; however, if a commutes with b, i.e., $ab = ba$, then $ab \in A$. If $0 \leq a, b$ and $ab = ba$, it turns out that $0 \leq ab$. Also, $a^2 \in A$, whence the *Jordan product* $a \odot b := \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba) = \frac{1}{2}[(a + b)^2 - (a^2 + b^2)] \in A$. Let $c := 2(a \odot b)$. Then $aba = a \odot c - a^2 \odot b$, so $aba \in A$. The mapping $b \mapsto aba$, called the *quadratic mapping* determined by a, is both linear and order preserving. Moreover, if $0 \leq b$, then $aba = 0 \Rightarrow ab = ba = 0$; in particular, putting $b = 1$, we infer that $a^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$.

For $a, b \in A$, we write aCb iff $ab = ba$, and for $B \subseteq A$, we define the *commutant* and the *bicommutant* of B by

$$C(B) := \{a \in A : aCb \text{ for all } b \in B\} \text{ and } CC(B) := C(C(B)),$$

respectively. Clearly, $C(B)$ is a linear subspace of A and $1 \in C(B)$, whence, under the restriction of the partial order on A, $C(B)$ is an order-unit space with order unit 1. For the case in which $B = \{b\}$ we write $C(b) := C(\{b\})$ and $CC(b) := CC(\{b\})$. If $a \in CC(b)$, we say that *a double commutes* with b.

We shall say that the subset $B \subseteq A$ is *commutative* iff aCb holds for all $a, b \in B$, i.e., iff $B \subseteq C(B)$. A maximal commutative subset of A is called a *C-block* (Cf. [8, Definition 5.1 and Theorem 5.9]). Evidently, $B \subseteq A$ is a C-block iff $B = C(B)$. Any commutative subset of A, and in particular a singleton set $\{a\} \subseteq A$, can be enlarged to a C-block (Zorn).

Evidently, for $B, D \subseteq A$, we have (i) $B \subseteq CC(B)$ and (ii) $B \subseteq D \Rightarrow C(D) \subseteq C(B)$, and it follows from (i) and (ii) that (iii) $C(CC(B)) = C(B)$.

Suppose that $B \subseteq A$ with $B \subseteq C(B)$. Then by (i) and (iii) above, $CC(B) \subseteq C(B) = C(CC(B))$, whence $CC(B)$ is a commutative order-unit space with order unit 1.

The "unit interval" in A is denoted by $E := \{e \in A : 0 \leq e \leq 1\}$, elements $e \in E$ are called *effects*, and E forms an effect algebra $(E; 0, 1, ^\perp, \oplus)$ as follows: For $e, f \in E$, e is orthogonal to f, in symbols $e \perp f$, iff $e + f \leq 1$, and then $e \oplus f := e + f$. The orthosupplement of $e \in E$ is given by $e^\perp := 1 - e$ and the induced partial order on E coincides with the restriction to E of the partial order $\leq$ on A. Clearly, if $e \leq f$, then $f \ominus e = f - e$. It can be shown that $E = \{e \in A : e^2 \leq e\}$.

An important sub-effect algebra of E is the set $P := \{p \in A : p^2 = p\}$ of *projections* in A, which turns out to be an OML-effect algebra. For $p, q \in P$, the infimum and the supremum of p and q in P are denoted by $p \wedge q$ and $p \vee q$, respectively. Since $P \subseteq E$, we can regard p and q as effects, and it turns out that $p \wedge q$ and $p \vee q$ are also the infimum and supremum, respectively, of p and q in E. Therefore, no confusion will result if we denote an existing infimum or supremum of effects $e, f \in E$ by $e \wedge f$ or $e \vee f$.

If $p, q \in P$, then it is not difficult to show that $p \perp q \Leftrightarrow pq = qp = 0$, in which case $p \oplus q = p + q = p \vee q$. Moreover, if $p \leq q$, then $q - p = q \ominus p = q \wedge p^\perp \in P$. Furthermore, if pCq, then $p \wedge q = pq$ and $p \vee q = p + q - pq$.

If $e \in E$ and $p \in P$, then $e \leq p$ iff $e = pep$ iff $e = ep$ iff $e = pe$. Also, $p \leq e$ iff $p = pe$ iff $p = ep$. Thus, if an effect e and a projection p are comparable, then eCp.

3.1 Lemma. *Let $p, q \in P$. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent*: (i) *p is compatible with q in E.* (ii) *pCq.* (iii) *p is compatible with q in P.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that p is compatible with q in E. Then there exist $e, f, d \in E$ such that $e + f + d \leq 1$, $p = e + d$, and $q = f + d$. Thus $e + q = e + f + d \leq 1$, so $e \leq 1 - q \in P$, whence $e = e(1 - q) = e - eq$, and it follows that $eq = qe = 0$. Also, $d \leq f + d = q \in P$, so $dq = qd = d$. Thus, $pq = (e + d)q = eq + dq = d$. Likewise $qp = q(e + d) = qe + qd = d$, and we have $pq = d = qp$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Suppose that pCq and put $e := p - p \wedge q = p - pq$, $f := q - p \wedge q = q - pq$, and $d := p \wedge q = pq$. Clearly, $e, f, d \in P$, $e + f + d =$

$p + q + pq = p \vee q \leq 1$, $p = e + d$, and $q = f + d$, so p is compatible with q in P. That (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious. $\square$

3.2 Lemma. *If $a \in A^+$ and $p \in P$, then $pCa \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq p \odot a$.*

Proof. Assume that $0 \leq a \in A$ and $p \in P$. If pCa, than since $0 \leq p, a$, we have $0 \leq pa = p \odot a$. Conversely, suppose $0 \leq p \odot a$. Then $b := pa + ap = 2(p \odot a) \geq 0$. Clearly, $p^\perp bp^\perp = 0$, whence $b - pb = p^\perp b = 0 = bp^\perp = b - bp$, so pCb. Therefore, $pa + pap = pb = bp = pap + ap$, and it follows that $pa = ap$. $\square$

It turns out that $A^+ = \{b^2 : b \in A\} = \{b^2 : b \in A^+\}$; moreover, if $a \in A^+$, there is a unique element—the *square root* of a—denoted by $a^{1/2} \in A^+$ such that $(a^{1/2})^2 = a$. Furthermore, if $a \in A^+$, then $a^{1/2} \in CC(a)$.

3.3 Lemma. *Let $0 \leq a, b \in A$. Then*: (i) $C(a) = C(a^{1/2}) = C(a^2)$. (ii) $aCb \Leftrightarrow a^{1/2}Cb^{1/2}$. (iii) *If aCb, then $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a^2 \leq b^2$.* (iv) *If aCb then $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a^{1/2} \leq b^{1/2}$.*

Proof. (i) Since $a^{1/2} \in CC(a)$, it follows that $C(a) \subseteq C(a^{1/2})$. Obviously, $C(a^{1/2}) \subseteq C(a)$ and we have $C(a) = C(a^{1/2})$. Replacing a by a^2, we obtain $C(a^2) = C(a)$.

(ii) If aCb, then by (i), $b \in C(a) = C(a^{1/2})$, whence $a^{1/2} \in C(b) = C(b^{1/2})$. Conversely, if $a^{1/2}Cb^{1/2}$, then $(a^{1/2})^2C(b^{1/2})^2$, i.e., aCb.

(iii) Part (iii) follows from [6, Corollary 3.4].

(iv) Assume that aCb. By (ii), $a^{1/2}Cb^{1/2}$, and replacing a by $a^{1/2}$ and b by $b^{1/2}$ in (iii) we obtain (iv). $\square$

For the special case in which $A = \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$ it is well-known that A has the MSR property in the following theorem. In fact, MSR holds for the self-adjoint part of any C*-algebra [20, Proposition 4.2.8]. Also, see [26, Proposition 10]. See [18] for a proof of the theorem.

3.4 Theorem. *The synaptic algebra A has the monotone square root* (MSR) *property, i.e., for all $a, b \in A$, $0 \leq a \leq b \Rightarrow a^{1/2} \leq b^{1/2}$.*

For $a \in A$, the *absolute value* of a, defined and denoted by $|a| := (a^2)^{1/2}$, satisfies $|a| \in CC(a)$. Obviously $a^2 = |a|^2$ and $|-a| = |a|$. Moreover, if $a, b \in A$ and aCb, then $|a|C|b|$ and $|ab| = |a||b|$. The *positive part* of $a \in A$ is denoted and defined by $a^+ := \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a)$, and the positive part of $-a$ (sometimes confusingly called the "negative part" of a) is denoted by

$a^- := (-a)^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|a| - a)$. Then $a^+, a^- \in A^+$; $a = a^+ - a^-$; $a^+a^- = 0$; and $|a| = a^+ + a^- \geq a^+ - a^- = a$. Since $|-a| = |a|$, it follows that $-|a| \leq a \leq |a|$.

3.5 Lemma. *Let $a, b \in A$ and suppose that aCb. Then*: (i) $|a+b| \leq |a|+|b|$. (ii) $-b \leq a \leq b \Leftrightarrow |a| \leq b$.

Proof. Assume that aCb. (i) We have $a, b \in C(a+b)$, so $a, b \in C(|a+b|)$, and therefore $|a|, |b| \in C(|a+b|)$, whence $|a+b|C(|a|+|b|)$. Also, $ab \leq |ab| = |a||b|$, and we have

$$|a+b|^2 = (a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \leq a^2 + 2|a||b| + b^2 = (|a| + |b|)^2,$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.3 (iv), $|a+b| \leq |a| + |b|$.

(ii) Since aCb, we have $(b-a)C(b+a)$ and $|a|Cb$. Suppose that $-b \leq a \leq b$. Then $0 \leq b-a, b+a$, whence $0 \leq (b-a)+(b+a) = 2b$, so $0 \leq b$. Also $0 \leq (b-a)(b+a) = b^2 - a^2$, so $|a|^2 = a^2 \leq b^2$, and by Lemma 3.3 (iv), $|a| \leq b$. Conversely, suppose $|a| \leq b$. Then $a \leq |a| \leq b$ and $-a \leq |-a| = |a| \leq b$, whence $-b \leq a$ and we have $-b \leq a \leq b$. □

If $a \in A$, there exists a unique projection, denoted by $a^o \in P$ and called the *carrier* of a, such that, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^o b = 0$. It turns out that $a^o \in CC(a)$, $aa^o = a^o a = a$, a^o is the smallest projection $p \in P$ such that $a = ap$ (or, equivalently, such that $a = pa$), and for all $b \in A$,

$$ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^o b = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab^o = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^o b^o = 0 \Leftrightarrow ba = 0.$$

Moreover, $(a^2)^o = a^o$, $|a|^o = a^o$, and we have the following lemma.

3.6 Lemma.

(i) *If $a, b \in A^+$, then $(b-a)^o \leq (b+a)^o$.*

(ii) *If $a, b \in A$ and $-b \leq a \leq b$, then $a^o \leq b^o$.*

(iii) *If $a, b \in A$ and $0 \leq a \leq b$, then $a^o \leq b^o$.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $a, b \in A^+$. Then it follows from [23, Lemma 3.1 (b)] that $b^o, a^o \leq b^o \vee a^o = (b+a)^o$, whence $(b-a)(b+a)^o = b(b+a)^o - a(b+a)^o = b - a$, and therefore $(b-a)^o \leq (b+a)^o$.

(ii) and (iii). Let $c := \frac{1}{2}(b-a)$ and $d := \frac{1}{2}(b+a)$. Then $a = d - c$, $b = d + c$, and $c, d \in A^+ \Leftrightarrow -b \leq a \leq b$, whence (ii) follows from (i). Moreover, if $0 \leq a \leq b$, then $-b \leq a \leq b$, so (iii) follows from (ii). □

Using carriers, we can generalize [26, Lemma 3] to the synaptic algebra A as follows.

3.7 Lemma. *Let $a, b, c, d \in A$ with $cd = 0$. Then*: (i) *If $0 \leq a \leq c$ and $0 \leq b \leq d$, then $ab = ba = 0$.* (ii) *If $0 \leq a \leq c, d$, then $a = 0$.*

Proof. (i) If a, b, c, and d are projections, the statement is easy to prove. Assume the hypothesis and let $cd = 0$. Then $c^{\mathrm{o}}d^{\mathrm{o}} = 0$, and by Lemma 3.6 (iii), $0 \leq a^{\mathrm{o}} \leq c^{\mathrm{o}}$, and $0 \leq b^{\mathrm{o}} \leq d^{\mathrm{o}}$. Therefore $a^{\mathrm{o}}b^{\mathrm{o}} = 0$, and consequently $ab = ba = 0$.

(ii) If $0 \leq a \leq c, d$, then $a^2 = 0$ by (i), whence $a = 0$. □

If $a \in A$, then the *spectral resolution* of a is the one-parameter family of projections $(p_{a,\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ defined by $p_{a,\lambda} := 1 - ((a - \lambda)^+)^{\mathrm{o}}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that if V is a linear subspace of A, $1 \in V$, and $a \in V \Rightarrow a^+, a^{\mathrm{o}} \in V$, then $a \in V \Rightarrow (p_{a,\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \subseteq V \cap P$.

3.8 Theorem. *Let $a, b \in A$. Then*:

(i) *aCb iff $p_{a,\lambda}Cb$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(ii) *aCb iff $p_{a,\lambda}Cp_{b,\mu}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from [6, Theorem 8.10] and (ii) follows from (i). □

An element $a \in A$ is said to be *invertible* iff there exists a (necessarily unique) element $a^{-1} \in A$ with $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = 1$. It can be shown that a is invertible iff there exists $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\epsilon \leq |a|$ and that, if a is invertible, then $a^{-1} \in CC(a)$.

A linear subspace H of A is called a *sub-synaptic algebra* of A iff $1 \in H$ and for all $h \in H$, we have $h^2, h^{\mathrm{o}} \in H$, $0 \leq h \Rightarrow h^{1/2} \in H$, and $1 \leq h \Rightarrow h^{-1} \in H$ [9, Definition 2.5]. If H is a sub-synaptic algebra of A, then H forms a synaptic algebra in its own right under the restrictions to H of the operations and the partial order on A [9, Theorem 2.6]. For instance, the commutant $C(B)$ of any subset $B \subseteq A$ is a sub-synaptic algebra of A, the bicommutant $CC(B)$ of any commutative subset B of A is a commutative sub-synaptic algebra of A, and any C-block, is a commutative sub-synaptic algebra of A. Also, the commutant $C(A)$ of A itself, which is called the *center* of A, is clearly commutative, and $C(A) = CC(C(A))$ is a commutative sub-synaptic algebra of A.

4 The generalized infimum and supremum

For the special case in which $A = \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$, a well-known result of R. Kadison [19] shows that A is an *antilattice*, i.e., for $a, b \in A$, the infimum $a \wedge_A b$ of a and b in A exists iff a and b are comparable. Thus, in general, A will fail to be lattice ordered. However, a number of authors (e.g., [16, 22, 26]) have studied the so-called *generalized infimum* and *generalized supremum* which always exist, which are defined for $a, b \in A$ by

$$a \sqcap b := \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|) \quad \text{and} \quad a \sqcup b := \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|),$$

respectively, and which in some cases can serve as substitutes for nonexistent infima and suprema.

All of the properties of the generalized infimum and supremum proved in [16, Section II] and in [26, Section 2] generalize to our synaptic algebra A. We consider most of these properties in this section.

Proofs of the properties of $\sqcap$ and $\sqcup$ in the following lemma are straightforward, and thus are omitted.

4.1 Lemma. Cf. [26, Lemma 1] *Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then*:

(i) $a \sqcap b = b \sqcap a \leq a, b \leq a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$.

(ii) $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a = a \sqcap b \Leftrightarrow b = a \sqcup b$.

(iii) $a + b = a \sqcap b + a \sqcup b$ *(Dedekind's law) and* $|a - b| = a \sqcup b - a \sqcap b$.

(iv) $(a \sqcap b) + c = (a + c) \sqcap (b + c)$ *and* $(a \sqcup b) + c = (a + c) \sqcup (b + c)$.

(v) $-((-a) \sqcap (-b)) = a \sqcup b$ *and* $-((-a) \sqcup (-b)) = a \sqcap b$.

(vi) $a \sqcup 0 = a^+$, $a \sqcap 0 = -a^-$, $a \sqcup (-a) = |a|$, *and* $a \sqcap (-a) = -|a|$.

(vii) $a^+ a^- = a^+ \sqcap a^- = 0$.

(viii) $a - a \sqcap b = (a - b)^+$ *and* $b - a \sqcap b = (a - b)^-$.

(ix) $(a - a \sqcap b)(b - a \sqcap b) = (a - a \sqcap b) \sqcap (b - a \sqcap b) = 0$.

Because of 4.1 (v) we have a "duality" between $\sqcap$ and $\sqcup$ enabling us to derive properties of $\sqcup$ from properties of $\sqcap$ and *vice versa*.

4.2 Theorem. [26, Lemma 2] *For $a, b \in A$, the following conditions are mutually equivalent*: (i) $a \sqcap b = 0$. (ii) $a + b = |a - b|$. (iii) $a, b \in A^+$ *and* $a \odot b = 0$. (iv) $a, b \in A^+$ *and* $ab = ba = 0$.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume (ii). Then (i) holds, so $0 \leq a, b$ by Lemma 4.1 (i). Also, $(a + b)^2 = (a - b)^2$, whence $ab + ba = -ab - ba$, i.e., $2(ab + ba) = 0$, and so $a \odot b = 0$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume (iii). Then $ab + ba = 0$, so $ab = -ba$, and we have

$$a^2b^2 = a(ab)b = a(-ba)b = -abab$$

$$= -(-ba)(-ba) = -b(ab)a = -b(-ba)a = b^2a^2,$$

whence $a^2 C b^2$. Since $0 \leq a, b$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 (ii) that aCb, and therefore $ab = -ab$, so $ab = ba = 0$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that $0 \leq a, b$ and $ab = ba = 0$. Then aCb, so $(a + b)C|a - b|$. Also, $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 = (a - b)^2 = |a - b|^2$, whence $a + b = |a - b|$ by Lemma 3.3 (iv). □

4.3 Corollary. [26, Corollary 1] *For $a, b \in A$, the following conditions are mutually equivalent* : (i) $|a| \sqcap |b| = 0$. (ii) $|a||b| = 0$. (iii) $ab = 0$. (iv) $a^+b^+ = a^+b^- = a^-b^+ = a^-b^- = 0$.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). By Theorem 4.2 $|a| \sqcap |b| = 0 \Leftrightarrow |a||b| = 0$, and (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows immediately from the facts that $|a|^\circ = a^\circ$ and $|b|^\circ = b^\circ$.

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv). Suppose that $|a||b| = 0$. Since $0 \leq a^+, a^- \leq a^+ + a^- = |a|$ and likewise $0 \leq b^+, b^- \leq |b|$, Lemma 3.7 (i) yields (iv). That (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. □

Part (i) of the next lemma is a generalization to the synaptic algebra A of a remark of S. Gudder [16, p. 2639] and part (ii) corresponds to [26, Corollary 2].

4.4 Lemma. *Let $a, b \in A^+$. Then*: (i) $aCb \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \sqcap b$. (ii) $a \sqcap b \leq 0 \Rightarrow a \sqcap b = ab = ba = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $0 \leq a, b$.

(i) Suppose that aCb. Then $0 \leq ab = ba$, whence $0 \leq 2(ab + ba)$, so $-ab - ba \leq ab + ba$, and it follows that $|a - b|^2 = (a - b)^2 \leq (a + b)^2$.

As $|a-b|C(a+b)$, Lemma 3.3 (iv) yields $|a-b| \leq a+b$, and therefore $0 \leq \frac{1}{2}(a+b-|a-b|) = a \sqcap b$.

(ii) Suppose that $a \sqcap b \leq 0$. Then $0 \leq a \leq a - a \sqcap b$ and $0 \leq b \leq b - a \sqcap b$, whence $ab = ba = 0$ by Lemma 4.1 (ix) and Lemma 3.7 (i), so $a \sqcap b = 0$ by Theorem 4.2. □

4.5 Lemma. [26, Lemma 4] *For $a, b \in A$, $aCb \Leftrightarrow a, b \in C(a \sqcap b)$.*

Proof. Put $c := a \sqcap b$. Then by Lemma 4.1 (ix), $(a-c)(b-c) = ab - ac - cb + c^2 = 0$ and $(b-c)(a-c) = ba - bc - ca + c^2 = 0$, whence $ab = cb + ac - c^2$ and $ba = bc + ca - c^2$, so if $cb = bc$ and $ac = ca$, it follows that $ab = ba$. The converse is obvious. □

4.6 Lemma. [26, Corollary 3] *If $a, b \in A$, and $a + b \in A^+$, then $0 \leq a \odot b \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \sqcap b$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a + b \in A^+$, and $0 \leq ab + ba$. Then $-ab - ba \leq ab + ba$, whence $|a-b|^2 = a^2 - ab - ba + b^2 \leq a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 = (a+b)^2$ with $0 \leq a + b$. Then by MSR, $|a-b| \leq a+b$, and it follows that $0 \leq a \sqcap b$. □

The next theorem strengthens [16, Corollary 2.4 (a)].

4.7 Theorem. *If $a, b \in A$, then $a \sqcap b$ is a maximal lower bound in A for a and b.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 (i), $a \sqcap b \leq a, b$. Suppose $c \in A$ with $a \sqcap b \leq c \leq a, b$. Then

$$0 \leq c - a \sqcap b \leq a - a \sqcap b, b - a \sqcap b.$$

By Lemma 4.1 (ix), $(a - a \sqcap b)(b - a \sqcap b) = 0$, whence by Lemma 3.7 (ii), $c - a \sqcap b = 0$, i.e., $c = a \sqcap b$. □

Using the results above, properties of the generalized infimum and supremum for effects and projections obtained in [16, Corollaries 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7] and [26, Proposition 1 and Corollary 3] are easily extended to the synaptic algebra A.

The following lemma is amusing, but for our present purposes, it is only a curiosity. Topping calls self-adjoint operators a and b *disjunctive* [26, p. 17] iff they satisfy the condition in the hypothesis of part (i) of the lemma. Topping's proof goes through for the synaptic algebra A and will not be repeated here.

4.8 Lemma. *Cf.* [26, Proposition 2] Let $a, b, p \in A$. Then:

(i) $|a-b| \sqcap (a \sqcap b) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \sqcap b, a, b$ and $ab = ba = (a \sqcap b)^2$.

(ii) $|1-p| \sqcap (1 \sqcap p) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p \in P$.

5 Vector lattices in A

In this section *we assume that V is a linear subspace of A.* Thus, as mentioned above, V is a partially ordered real linear space with positive cone $V^+ := V \cap A^+$ under the restriction of the relation $\leq$ on A, and if $1 \in V$, then V is an order-unit normed space. In this section we shall obtain analogues for $V \subseteq A$ of Topping's results for $V \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathfrak{H})$ in [26, §3].

5.1 Remarks. We shall be especially interested in cases in which V has one or more of the following properties: (i) $1 \in V$, (ii) $a \in V \Rightarrow a^2 \in V$, whence V is closed under Jordan products; (iii) $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$; (iv) $a \in V \Rightarrow a^{\mathrm{o}} \in V$; (v) V is commutative; (vi) V is a vector lattice. In [26, §3], Topping refers to conditions (iii) and (vi) as properties A and L, respectively.

5.2 Remarks. There are four very simple ways to form linear subspaces V of A with properties (i)–(v) in Remarks 5.1, namely:

(1) Take any commutative subset B of A (e.g., $B = \{a\}$ for any $a \in A$) and form $V := CC(B)$. Then $B \subseteq V$.

(2) Take any commutative subset B of A and extend B to a C-block V (Zorn). Then $B \subseteq V$.

(3) Take any block B in P and form $V = C(B)$. Then if $a \in A$, it follows that $a \in V$ iff, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, every projection $p_{a,\lambda}$, in the spectral resolution of a belongs to B. Moreover, V is a C-block and $B \subseteq V$.

(4) Take any element $a \in A$, expand the commutative set $\{p_{a,\lambda} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of all projections in the spectral resolution of a to a block B_a in P (Zorn), and let $V := C(B_a)$ as in (3). Then V is a C-block and $a \in B_a \subseteq V$.

In all four cases (1)–(4), V is a commutative sub-synaptic algebra of A.

In our subsequent work, we use the next lemma routinely and without explicit attribution.

5.3 Lemma. *The following conditions are mutually equivalent*: (i) $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$. (ii) $a \in V \Rightarrow a^+ \in V$. (iii) $a \in V \Rightarrow a^- \in V$. (iv) $a, b \in V \Rightarrow a \sqcap b \in V$. (v) $a, b \in V \Rightarrow a \sqcup b \in V$.

Proof. The proof follows easily from the facts that $a^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a)$, $a^- = (-a)^+$, $a \sqcap b = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|)$, and $a \sqcup b = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|)$. □

5.4 Theorem. Cf. [26, Proposition 3] *Suppose that V is a vector lattice. Then*: (i) *If $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$, then for all $a, b \in V$, $a \sqcap b = a \wedge_V b \in V$ and $a \sqcup b = a \vee_V b \in V$.* (ii) *The condition $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$ is equivalent to $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| = a \vee_V (-a)$.*

Proof. Let V be a vector lattice.

(i) Assume that $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$ and $a, b \in V$. Then by Theorem 4.7 (ii), $a \sqcap b$ is a maximal lower bound for a and b in A. Since $a \sqcap b \in V$ and $a \sqcap b \leq a, b$, we have $a \sqcap b \leq a \wedge_V b \leq a, b$, whence $a \sqcap b = a \wedge_V b$. Because $a \mapsto -a$ is an order-reversing linear automorphism of V, it follows that $a \vee_V b = -((-a) \wedge_V (-b)) = -((-a) \sqcap (-b)) = a \sqcup b$.

(ii) If $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$ and $a \in V$, then by (i), $a \vee_V (-a) = a \sqcup (-a) = \frac{1}{2}(0 + |a + a|) = |a|$. The converse is obvious. □

5.5 Remarks. If V is a vector lattice, then as mentioned above, there are traditional definitions of the absolute value, the positive part, and the negative part of an element $a \in V$; however these notions need not coincide with the synaptic-algebra definitions of $|a|$, a^+, and a^-, which may not even belong to V. However, by Theorem 5.4, if $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$, then the traditional notions do in fact agree with the corresponding synaptic-algebra notions.

In [26, §3], condition (iii) in the following lemma is called property J.

5.6 Lemma. *Suppose that $1 \in V$ and $a \in V \Rightarrow |a|, a^{\mathrm{o}} \in V$. Then the following conditions are equivalent*: (i) *V is commutative.* (ii) *$P \cap V$ is commutative.* (iii) *$a, b \in V^+ \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \odot b$.*

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). Trivially, (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Conversely, assume (ii) and let $a, b \in V$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then since V is a linear subspace of A, $1 \in V$, and V is closed under the formation of positive parts and carriers, we have $p_{a,\lambda} = 1 - ((a - \lambda)^+)^{\mathrm{o}} \in V$, whence the spectral resolution $(p_{a,\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is contained in $P \cap V$. Likewise, the spectral resolution $(p_{b,\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is contained in $P \cap V$,

and since $P \cap V$ is a commutative set, it follows $p_{a,\lambda} C p_{b,\mu}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, aCb by Theorem 3.8 (ii), proving (i).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). If aCb, then $a \odot b = ab$, so (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume (iii) and let $p, q \in V \cap P$. Then $0 \leq p \odot q$, whence pCq by Lemma 3.2. □

In [26, §3], Topping refers to condition (ii) in the next theorem as property R and remarks that the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is "classical."

5.7 Theorem. Cf. [26, Lemmas 6 and 10] *Consider the following conditions*:

(i) *V is a vector lattice.*

(ii) *If $a, b, c \in V^+$ and $c \leq a + b$, then there exist $a_1, b_1 \in V^+$ with $a_1 \leq a$, $b_1 \leq b$, and $c = a_1 + b_1$* (the Riesz Decomposition Property (RDP)).

(iii) *If $a, b, c, d \in V$ and $a, b \leq c, d$, then there exists $g \in V$ with $a, b \leq g \leq c, d$* (the Riesz Interpolation Property).

(iv) *If $a, b \in V^+$ and $ab = 0$, then $a \wedge_V b$ exists and $a \wedge_V b = 0$.*

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). *Moreover, if $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$, then* (i), (ii), (iii), *and* (iv) *are mutually equivalent.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). A proof that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is easily obtained by putting $a_1 := c \wedge_V a$ and $b_1 := c - a_1$. For a proof (in the context of partially ordered abelian groups, but which works here too) that (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii), see [15, Proposition 2.1 (a) and (b)].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume (iii) and $0 \leq a, b$ with $ab = 0$. Suppose that $c \in V$ with $c \leq a, b$. Then $0, c \leq a, b$, so by (iii) there exists $g \in V$ with $0, c \leq g \leq a, b$. Thus, by Lemma 3.7 (ii), $c \leq g = 0$, and it follows that $a \wedge_V b = 0$.

Finally, assume that $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume (iv), let $c, d \in V$, and put $a := c - d$. Since $0 \leq a^+, a^- \in V$ and $a^+ a^- = 0$, we have $a^+ \wedge_V a^- = 0$ by (iv), whence

$$-a^- = 0 - a^- = (a^+ \wedge_V a^-) - a^- = (a^+ - a^-) \wedge_V (a^- - a^-) = a \wedge_V 0.$$

Thus, $d - a^- = (a \wedge_V 0) + d = (a + d) \wedge_V d = c \wedge_V d$. Replacing c and d by $-c$ and $-d$, we also obtain $-((-c) \wedge_V (-d)) = c \vee_V d$, so (i) holds. □

In [26, §3], conditions (i) and (ii) in the next lemma are called properties O (Ogasawara's condition) and J^+, respectively. The proof is adapted from [26, Lemmas 6 and 11].

5.8 Lemma. *Consider the following conditions*:

(i) *If* $a, b \in V^+$, *then* $a \leq b \Rightarrow a^2 \leq b^2$.

(ii) *If* $a, b \in V^+$, *then* $a \leq b \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \odot b$.

(iii) *If* $a, b \in V^+$ *and* $0 \leq a \odot b$, *then* $0 \leq a \sqcap b$.

(iv) *If* $a, b \in V^+$ *and* $ab = 0$, *then* $a \wedge_V b$ *exists and* $a \wedge_V b = 0$.

(v) V *is a vector lattice.*

Then (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii); (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii); (i) $\Rightarrow$ [(ii) *and* (iii)] $\Rightarrow$ (iv); *and if* $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$, *then* (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v).

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume (i) and $0 \leq a \leq b$. Then $0 \leq b-a \leq b+a$, whence $(b-a)^2 \leq (b+a)^2$, and it follows that $a \odot b = \frac{1}{4}[(b+a)^2 - (b-a)^2] \geq 0$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume (ii) and $0 \leq a \leq b$. Then $0 \leq b - a \leq b + a$, whence $0 \leq (b-a) \odot (b+a) = b^2 - a^2$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). If (i) holds, then (iii) follows from Lemma 4.6.

[(ii) and (iii)] $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume (ii), (iii), and $a, b \in V^+$ with $ab = 0$. Also, suppose that $c \in V$ with $c \leq a, b$. It will be sufficient to prove that $c \leq 0$. Since $ab = 0$, we have aCb and $a \odot b = ab = 0$. Clearly, $0 \leq a \leq a - c + b$ and $0 \leq b \leq a + b - c$, whence by (iii), $0 \leq a \odot (a - c + b) = a \odot (a - c) + a \odot b = a \odot (a - c) = (a - c) \odot a$, and likewise $0 \leq (b-c) \odot b$. But $0 \leq a - c \leq a$, so by (ii), $0 \leq (a-c) \sqcap a = a \sqcap (a-c) = a + (0 \sqcap (-c)) = a - (0 \sqcup c) = a - c^+$, and we have $0 \leq c^+ \leq a$. Similarly, $0 \leq c^+ \leq b$, and by Lemma 3.7 (i), $c^+ = 0$, so $c = -c^- \leq 0$.

Finally if $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$, then (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) by Theorem 5.7. □

In [26, §3], condition (ii) of the following lemma is called property SQ, and as mentioned above, condition (i) is called property J, condition (iii) is called property P, and (iv) is Ogasawara's condition O. The proof is adapted from [26, Proposition 6]. In the lemma, note that $a \odot b$, a^2, and b^2 do not necessarily belong to V.

5.9 Lemma. *Suppose that V satisfies the condition $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$ and consider the following conditions for all $a, b \in V$:*

(i) $0 \leq a, b \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \odot b$.

(ii) $-b \leq a \leq b \Rightarrow a^2 \leq b^2$.

(iii) $0 \leq a, b \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \sqcap b$.

(iv) $0 \leq a \leq b \Rightarrow a^2 \leq b^2$.

Then (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ [(iii) *and* (iv)].

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume (i) and $-b \leq a \leq b$. Then $0 \leq b - a, b + a$, so $0 \leq (b - a) \odot (b + a) = b^2 - a^2$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume (ii) and $0 \leq a, b$. Since (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), we have $|a-b| \leq a+b$. Since $|a-b| \in V$ and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv), it follows that $(a-b)^2 = |a-b|^2 \leq (a+b)^2$, whence $a \odot b = \frac{1}{4}[(a+b)^2 - (a-b)^2] \geq 0$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume (ii) and $0 \leq a, b$. Then $-(a+b) \leq b - a \leq a + b$, so $(a-b)^2 \leq (a+b)^2$, and by MSR, $|a-b| \leq a+b$, whence $0 \leq \frac{1}{2}(a+b-|a-b|) = a \sqcap b$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). This is obvious.

[(iii) and (iv)] $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume (iii), (iv), and $-b \leq a \leq b$. Then $0 \leq b - a, b + a$, so by (iii), $0 \leq (b - a) \sqcap (b + a) = b - |a|$, whence $|a| \leq b$ with $|a| \in V$. Thus, by (iv), $a^2 = |a|^2 \leq b^2$. □

5.10 Lemma. *Suppose that $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$ and consider the following conditions*:

(i) *V is commutative.*

(ii) *If $a, b \in V$ and $a \leq b$, then aCb.*

(iii) *If $a, b \in V$ and $0, a \leq b$, then $a^+ \leq b$.*

(iv) *If $a \in V$, then $a \vee_V 0$ exists in V and $a^+ = a \vee_V 0$.*

(v) *If $a, b \in V$, then $a \vee_V b$ exists in V and $a \vee_V b = (a - b)^+ + b$.*

(vi) *V is a vector lattice.*

(vii) *$E \cap V$ is an MV-effect algebra.*

(viii) $P \cap V$ *is commutative.*

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi). *Moreover, if* $1 \in V$*, then* (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii). *Finally, if* $1 \in V$ *and* $a \in V \Rightarrow a^{\mathrm{o}} \in V$*, then conditions* (i)–(viii) *are mutually equivalent.*

Proof. Assume that $a \in V \Rightarrow |a| \in V$. Obviously (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume (ii) and let $a, b \in V$ with $0, a \leq b$. By (ii), aCb. To prove (iii), we have to show that $a^+ \leq b$. Put $p := (a^+)^{\mathrm{o}}$. (We note that p does not necessarily belong to V.) Thus $pa^+ = a^+$; $p \in CC(a^+)$; and as $a^+ \in CC(a)$, we have $p \in CC(a) \subseteq C(a)$. Furthermore, as $a^+a^- = 0$, it follows that $pa^- = 0$, whence $pa = p(a^+ - a^-) = pa^+ = a^+$. Also, as aCb and $p \in CC(a)$, we have pCb, so $pC(b-a)$, and since $0 \leq p, b-a$, it follows that $0 \leq p(b-a)$, whence $a^+ = pa \leq pb$. Moreover, as $bC(1-p)$ and $0 \leq b, 1-p$, we have $0 \leq b(1-p) = b - bp$, whence $bp \leq b$, and therefore $a^+ \leq bp \leq b$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume (iii) and let $a \in A$. We have $a, 0 \leq a \sqcup 0 = a^+$. Moreover, by (iii), if $b \in V$ and $a, 0 \leq b$, we have $a^+ \leq b$, whence $a^+ = a \vee_V 0$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). Assume (iv) and let $a, b \in V$. We claim that $(a-b)^+ + b$ is the supremum $a \vee_V b$ in V of a and b. As $a - b \leq (a-b)^+$, we have $a \leq (a-b)^+ + b$. Clearly, $b \leq (a-b)^+ + b$. Suppose that $c \in V$ with $a, b \leq c$. We have to show that $(a-b)^+ + b \leq c$, i.e., that $(a-b)^+ \leq c - b$. But $a - b, 0 \leq c - b$, and since $(a-b)^+$ is the supremum in B of $a - b$ and 0, it follows that $(a-b)^+ \leq c - b$. Therefore $(a-b)^+ + b = a \vee_V b$.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi). Assume (v) and let $a, b \in V$. Then the infimum of a and b in V is given by $a \wedge_V b = -((-a) \vee_V (-b))$, whence V is a vector lattice.

Now assume that $1 \in V$.

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii). Assume that V is a vector lattice and put $F := E \cap V$. Clearly, $1 \in F$ and F is a sub-effect algebra of E, so F is an effect algebra in its own right under the restriction to F of the orthosummation $\oplus$ and the orthosupplementation $e \mapsto e^\perp = 1 - e$ on E. Thus, for $e, f \in F$, $e \perp f$ in F iff $e + f \leq 1$, in which case $e \oplus f = e + f \in F$. If $e, f \in F$, then $0 \leq e, f \leq 1$, so $0 \leq e \wedge_V f \leq e \vee_V f \leq 1$, whence $e \wedge_V f, e \vee_V f \in F$, and therefore F is a lattice effect algebra with $e \wedge_V f = e \wedge_F f$ and $e \vee_V f = e \vee_F f \in F$. Suppose that $e, f \in F$ and $e \wedge_F f = e \wedge_V f = 0$. By Theorem 5.4 (i), $e \sqcap f = e \wedge_V f = 0$, $e \sqcup f = e \vee_V f$; hence $e + f = e \sqcap f + e \sqcup f = e \sqcup f = e \vee_V f \leq 1$, and therefore $e \perp f$ in F. Thus, disjoint elements in F are orthogonal, so by Theorem 2.1 and Definition 2.2, F is an MV-effect algebra.

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii). Assume (vii). Then e is compatible with f in $F = E \cap V$ for all $e, f \in F$ (Theorem 2.1). Clearly, then, e is compatible with f in E for all $e, f \in F$. In particular, if $p, q \in P \cap V \subseteq F$, then p is compatible with q in E. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, $P \cap V$ is a commutative set.

Finally, assume that $1 \in V$ and $a \in V \Rightarrow a^{\mathrm{o}} \in V$. Then (viii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (i) by Lemma 5.6. $\square$

The following is our main theorem in this paper. In [26, §3], condition (viii) in the theorem is called property T. Related results are obtained in [26, Proposition 6, Theorem 2, and Corollary 9].

5.11 Theorem. *Suppose that $1 \in V$ and that $a \in V \Rightarrow |a|, a^{\mathrm{o}} \in V$. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent*:

(i) *V is a vector lattice. (Topping's property L)*

(ii) *V is commutative.*

(iii) *If $a, b \in V^+$ and $ab = 0$, then $a \wedge_V b$ exists and $a \wedge_V b = 0$.*

(iv) *$a \in V \Rightarrow a^+ = 0 \vee_V a$.*

(v) *If $a, b, c \in V^+$ and $c \leq a + b$, then there exist $a_1, b_1 \in V^+$ with $a_1 \leq a$, $b_1 \leq b$, and $c = a_1 + b_1$. (RDP—Topping's property R)*

(vi) *If $a, b \in V^+$, then $0 \leq a \odot b$. (Topping's property J)*

(vii) *If $a, b \in V$, then $-b \leq a \leq b \Rightarrow a^2 \leq b^2$ (Topping's property SQ)*

(viii) *If $a, b \in V$, then $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$. (Topping's property T)*

(ix) *If $a, b \in V$ and $-a \leq b \leq a$, then $|b| \leq a$.*

(x) *If $a, b \in V^+$, then $0 \leq a \sqcap b$. (Topping's property P)*

(xi) *If $a, b \in V$ and $0 \leq a \leq b$, then $a^2 \leq b^2$. (Topping's property O)*

(xii) *If $a, b \in V^+$, then $a \leq b \Rightarrow 0 \leq a \odot b$. (Topping's property J^+)*

Proof. Assume the hypotheses.

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii). That (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) follows from the equivalence of parts (i), (iii), (iv), and (vi) of Lemma 5.10. That (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows from Theorem 5.7. That (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) is a consequence

of Theorem 5.7, Lemma 5.6 yields (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi), and (vi) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii) follows from Lemma 5.9.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii), (ix), (x), and (xi). Assume (ii). Then (viix), (ix), (x), and (xi) follow from Lemmas 3.5 (i), 3.5 (ii), 4.4 (i), and Lemma 3.3 (iii), respectively.

(viii), (ix), (x) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). The arguments in the proof of [26, Proposition 6] work in the synaptic algebra A, but for completeness, we reproduce them here. Thus, assume that $a, b \in V$ and $0, a \leq b$, i.e., $0 \leq b, b - a$. We have to prove that each condition (viii), (ix), (x) implies that $a^+ \leq b$. We have $a = b - (b - a)$, so if (vii) holds, then $|a| \leq |b| + |b - a| = 2b - a$, whence $a^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a) \leq b$. Also we have $-2b + a \leq a \leq 2b - a$, so if (viii) holds, then $|a| \leq |2b - a| = 2b - a$, and $a^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a) \leq b$. Finally, if (ix) holds, then $0 \leq b \sqcap (b - a) = \frac{1}{2}[b + b - a - |b - (b - a)| = b - \frac{1}{2}(a + |a|) = b - a^+$, and again $a^+ \leq b$.

That (xi) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (xi) $\Leftrightarrow$ (xii) follow from Lemma 5.8. $\square$

Now we focus on the case in which A is commutative. Any commutative synaptic algebra is a commutative, associative, Archimedean partially ordered real linear algebra with order unit; it may be regarded as its own enveloping algebra; it is a normed linear algebra under the order-unit norm, and by the next theorem, its OML of projections is a Boolean algebra.

5.12 Theorem. *The following conditions are mutually equivalent*:

(i) *The synaptic algebra A is commutative.*

(ii) *Comparable elements in A commute.*

(iii) *A is a vector lattice.*

(iv) *E is an MV-effect algebra.*

(v) *Every pair of effects $e, f \in E$ is compatible in E.*

(vi) *Every pair of projections $p, q \in P$ is compatible in P.*

(vii) *P is commutative.*

(viii) *P is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii) follows immediately from Lemma 5.10.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume (iii). Then (i) also holds. Let $e, f \in E$. Then we have $ef = fe$; moreover $0 \leq e, f \leq 1$, so $0 \leq e \wedge_V f \leq e \vee_V f \leq 1$, whence $e \wedge_V f, \vee_V f \in E$. Therefore E is a lattice effect algebra with $e \wedge_E f = e \wedge_V f$ and $e \vee_E f = e \vee_V f$. We claim that e and f are compatible in E. By [14, Lemma 2.7], $ef = fe \in$ E with $ef \leq e, f$. Therefore, $e - ef, f - ef, ef \in E$ with $(e - ef) + (f - ef) + ef = e + f - ef = e + f(1 - e)$. But $fC(1 - e)$, so again by [14, Lemma 2.7], $f(1 - e) \in E$ with $f(1 - e) \leq 1 - e$, and therefore $(e - ef) + (f - ef) + ef \leq e + (1 - e) = 1$. Thus, as $e = (e - ef) + ef$ and $f = (f - ef) + ef$, it follows that e and f are compatible in E. Consequently, E is an MV-effect algebra, and we have (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv).

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (viii). Obviously, (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v), and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi). That (vi) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii) follows from Lemma 3.1 and that (vii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (viii) is well-known in the theory of OMLs.

(vii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (i). If (vii) holds and $a, b \in A$, then the projections in the spectral resolutions of a and b commute with each other, whence aCb by Theorem 3.8 (ii). Thus, (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), and the converse implication is obvious. □

In view of Theorem 5.4 (i), Theorem 5.12 has the following immediate corollary.

5.13 Corollary. *If A is comutative, then for $a, b \in A$, $a \wedge_A b = a \sqcap b$ and $a \vee_A b = a \sqcup b$.*

A functional representation for commutative synaptic algebras can be found in [9, Theorem 4.1].

References

[1] Alfsen, E.M., *Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1971.

[2] Beran, L., *Orthomodular Lattices, An Algebraic Approach*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 18, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1985.

[3] Birkhoff, Garrett, *Lattice Theory*, A.M.S. Colloquium Publications XXV, Providence, RI 1967.

[4] Chang, C.C., Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1959) 74–80.

[5] Foulis, D.J., MV and Heyting effect algebras, *Found. Phys.* **30**, no. 10 (2000) 1687–1706.

[6] Foulis, D.J., Synaptic algebras, *Math. Slovaca* **60**, no. 5 (2010) 631–654.

[7] Foulis, D.J. and Bennett, M.K., Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics, *Found. Phys.* **24** (1994) 1331–1352.

[8] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, Sylvia, Monotone sigma-complete RC-groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **73** no. 2 (2006) 304–324.

[9] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, Sylvia, Projections in synaptic algebras, *Order* **27**, no. 2 (2010) 235–257.

[10] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, Sylvia, Type-decomposition of a synaptic algebra, *Found. Phys.* **43**, no. 8 (2013) 948–968.

[11] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, Sylvia, Symmetries in synaptic algebras, *Math. Slovaca* **64**, no. 3 (2014) 751–776.

[12] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, Sylvia, Commutativity in a synaptic algebra, to appear in *Math. Slovaca*.

[13] Foulis, David J., Jenčová, Anna, and Pulmannová, Sylvia, Two projections in a synaptic algebra, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **478** (2015) 162-187.

[14] Foulis, David J., Jenčová, Anna, and Pulmannová, Sylvia, A projection and an effect in a synaptic algebra, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **485** (2015) 417–441.

[15] Goodearl, K.R., *Partially Ordered Abelian Groups with Interpolation*, A.M.S. Math. Surveys and Monographs, No. 20, 1980.

[16] Gudder, S.P., Lattice properties of quantum effects, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 2637–2642.

[17] Jenča, Gejza, A representation theorem for MV-algebras, *Soft Computing* **11** (2007) 557–564.

[18] Foulis, D.J., Jenčová, A. and Pulmannová, S., Every synaptic algebra has the monotone square root property, arXiv:1605.04115

[19] Kadison, R.V., Order properties of bounded self-adjoint operators, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **34** (1951) 505–510.

[20] Kadison, R.V. and Ringrose, J.R., *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Vol. I, Elementary Theory* Academic Press, New York (1983).

[21] Kalmbach, G., *Orthomodular Lattices*, Academic Press, London, New York, 1983, ISBN 0-12-394580-1.

[22] Lahti, P. and Mączynski, M., Partial order of quantum effects, *J. Math. Physics* **36** (1995) 1673–1680.

[23] Pulmannová, Sylvia, A note on ideals in synaptic algebras, *Math. Slovaca* **62**, no. 6 (2012) 1091-1104.

[24] Riečanová, Zdenka, Generalization of blocks for D-lattices and lattice-ordered effect algebras, *International J. Theoret. Phys.* **39**, no. 2 (2000) 231–237.

[25] H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.

[26] Topping, David M., Vector lattices of self-adjoint operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115** (1965) 14-30.